

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
AT KNOXVILLE

LNv CORPORATION,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	No. 3:12-CV-468-TAV-HBG
	)	
CATHERINE GEBHARDT,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**ORDER**

This case is before the undersigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 and the Rules of this Court. On May 14, 2014, the Defendant filed her initial motion to appeal *in forma pauperis* [Doc. 64]. The Court denied the initial motion to appeal *in forma pauperis* based upon the lack of information about Defendant's equity in real property. The Defendant has since filed an amended motion to appeal *in forma pauperis* [Doc. 101], which is now before the Court.

Pursuant to Rule 24 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure:

[A] party to a district-court action who desires to appeal in forma pauperis must file a motion in the district court. The party must attach an affidavit that:

(A) shows in the detail prescribed by Form 4 of the Appendix of Forms the party's inability to pay or to give security for fees and costs;

(B) claims an entitlement to redress; and

(C) states the issues that the party intends to present on appeal.

Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(1).

The Court has reviewed the Defendant's filing [Doc. 101], and the exhibits attached thereto, and the Court finds that the Defendant has now complied with Rule 24.<sup>1</sup> Based upon the foregoing, the Court finds that Defendant's amended motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal [Doc. 101] is well-taken, and it is **GRANTED**. The Defendant will be permitted to proceed on appeal without prepayment of fees.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

ENTER:

  
United States Magistrate Judge

---

<sup>1</sup> The instant decision is not a decision on whether Plaintiff's appeal is meritorious or timely, and it should not be interpreted as such.